



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,053	09/26/2003	Arnold R. Leiboff	461.1005	7183

22846 7590 05/07/2007
BRIAN ROFFE, ESQ
11 SUNRISE PLAZA, SUITE 303
VALLEY STREAM, NY 11580-6111

EXAMINER

HILL, LAURA C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3761

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/07/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/672,053	Applicant(s) LEIBOFF, ARNOLD R.	
	Examiner Laura C. Hill	Art Unit 3761	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Brian Roffe, Applicant's representative. (3) _____

(2) Laura C. Hill, USPTO. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 03 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 78,89,94 and 95.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bartholomew et al. (US 4,650,473).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Laura C. Hill
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner gave clarification as to element 17b defining a circumferentially extending notch having a distal portion which projects rearward and outward/protrudes from the body 11 and a rearward edge extending rearward of a forward/proximal end of notch 17b (figure 1 as required by Claim 78). Proposed claim amendments included the notch being disposed at an angle other than perpendicular (Claim 78). Proposed claim amendments for Claim 89 included a recitation of the side arm coming down and extending outward from a longitudinally oriented side. Examiner noted that the term "separate" as in Claim 94 is given its broadest reasonable interpretation unless clearly and precisely defined in the specification..